

Implementation of EU Framework Decision



on Probation Supervision



NEXT STEPS	
General Steps	√
<i>1/ Establish whether work on the FD has commenced in your jurisdiction.</i>	√
<i>2/ Undertake a mapping exercise which would also serve to chart key processes.</i>	√
<i>3// Identify stages, stakeholders and owner of the process.</i>	√
<i>4/ Consider the benefits of appointing of an Implementation Manager/Project Co-ordinator.</i>	√
<i>5/ Keep on the relevant agendas and keep issue alive by influencing significant players.</i>	√
<i>6/ Member States should share progress made with neighbouring jurisdictions in an effort to co-ordinate developments.</i>	√
<i>7/ Identify Member States from whom you will either give or receive referrals (as executing or issuing state).</i>	√
<i>8/ Establish an international desk or single point of contact to manage and co-ordinate international requests and voluntary arrangements and gather data/provide information.</i>	√
<i>9/ Review progress after a specified timeframe such as 9 months</i>	√

Competent Authority (CA)	√
<i>1/ Identify functions, key players, responsible persons and set timelines and targets.</i>	√
<i>2/ Establish who could undertake the role, the range of tasks the CA will perform and whether an existing structure or structures could suffice.</i>	√
<i>3/ Examine current relevant systems upon which the CA could impact and look at the legislative changes necessary</i>	√
<i>4/ Keep the structures as simple as possible.</i>	√
<i>5/ Establish which decisions require the establishment of a new authority, contrast functions of CA under this FD with CA functions in other related FDs and devise whether separate CAs are necessary</i>	√
<i>6/ Explore the interface between the CA and the Judiciary and Probation Service.</i>	√
<i>7/ Examine potential models that will suit the systems in your jurisdiction.</i>	√
<i>8/ Identify issues in relation to the language used between CAs</i>	√
Legislation	
<i>1/ Examine existing legislation to establish how it fits with the FD and whether legislative amendments required. Undertake this from the viewpoint of both issuing and executing state, considering 11 measures and breach/revocation.</i>	√
<i>2/ Examine existing legislation with an international dimension as well as relevant legislation in neighbouring jurisdictions.</i>	√
<i>3/ Set out parameters of current legislation and devise a system to notify relevant Member States if legislative changes are made.</i>	√
<i>4/ Identify potential obstacles impacting on the equitable treatment of offenders from other Member States.</i>	√

Progressing Conference Objectives	
<i>1/ Continue the discussions/meetings/information sessions</i>	√
<i>2/ Compile a list of contact persons to be a single point of contact for international enquiries and requests in each jurisdiction.</i>	√
<i>3/ Compile a template for each Member State to outline basic information on community sanctions available and which could be incorporated into a manual.</i>	√
<i>4/ Examine the potential for compiling relevant statistics and the establishment of a database</i>	√
<i>5/ Use the CEP website as a mode of communication for Member States to update on progress.</i>	√
* Suggested that CEP might play significant role in the above	
Additional Steps	
<i>1/ Establish equivalence of sanctions and conditions</i>	√
<i>2/ Develop contacts with likely partner states</i>	√
<i>3/ Consider piloting initiative and examples of good practice</i>	√
<i>4/ Link key policy and operational stakeholders</i>	√
<i>5/ Communicate as progress is made</i>	√