

European Commission Justice and Crime Reduction (DG JLS) Funding

Sharing Experience

Steve Pitts – NOMS
CEP Conference Cambridge

Agenda

- ◆ Project Award Criteria
- ◆ Two project examples
 - ◆ STARR
 - ◆ DOMICE
- ◆ Strengths of the applications
- ◆ Learning Points
- ◆ Tips



Selection and evaluation process of the applications

Award criteria for Action Grants (e.g. for JPEN)

- a) **Conformity** (15 points)
- b) **Quality of the proposed action** (25 points)
- c) **Value for money** (15 points)
- d) **Impact of the expected results** (15 points)
- e) **European dimension** (15 points)
- f) **Economies of scale** (15 points)



Two recent projects

◆ STARR

- ◆ Crime Prevention Programme
- ◆ CEP, Cambridge University, UK, France, Bulgaria, Hungary
- ◆ Reducing Re-offending
- ◆ Research effective practice in Europe, develop capacity, intervention development, pilot transfer, conferencing, web-site development
- ◆ 1.7 million Euro

Score

◆ DOMICE

- ◆ Criminal Justice Programme
- ◆ CEP, UK, Denmark, Netherlands, Spain –Catalonia, Bulgaria, Inclusion, London University
- ◆ Case Management
- ◆ Research best practice, support Framework Decisions, guide, conferencing involving all MS and CCs, handbook, web-site development
- ◆ 420,000 Euro

Score

Note: Only projects scoring above 65 will be awarded –
- Depending on funds availability

Two recent projects

◆ STARR

- ◆ Crime Prevention Programme
- ◆ CEP, Cambridge University, UK, France, Bulgaria, Hungary
- ◆ Reducing Re-offending
- ◆ Research effective practice in Europe, develop capacity, intervention development, pilot transfer, conferencing, web-site development
- ◆ 1.7 million Euro

Score 73

◆ DOMICE

- ◆ Criminal Justice Programme
- ◆ CEP, UK, Denmark, Netherlands, Spain –Catalonia, Bulgaria, Inclusion, London University
- ◆ Case Management
- ◆ Research best practice, support Framework Decisions, guide, conferencing involving all MS and CCs, handbook, web-site development
- ◆ 420,000 Euro

Score 80

Note: Only projects scoring above 65 will be awarded –
- Depending on funds availability

Strengths

◆ STARR

1. Fit with programme priorities (priority crime reduction areas)
2. Strong partnership and project plan
3. Research value
4. Potential significant impact
5. Very strong European Dimension through partners, research, conferences and website
6. Involvement of all MS

◆ DOMICE

1. Fit with programme priorities and Framework Decision implementation
2. Strong partnership and very strong project plan
3. Overall value good
4. Impact strong, especially link to Framework Decisions
5. Very strong – all MS and CCs, website, conferencing, and partner “hubs”
6. Involvement of all MS through “hubs”

Learning Points

◆ STARR

- ◆ 3 Value for money, in particular costs for staff and conferencing. Strategy for managing risks, especially financial
- ◆ 4 Impact, in particular sustainability of work to enhance knowledge of what works

◆ DOMICE

- ◆ 3 Conferencing costs

Tips

- ◆ Be sure about aims
- ◆ Ensure its a win-win situation!
- ◆ Be certain of each partners contribution – upbeat and realistic
- ◆ Pay careful attention to call criteria
- ◆ Plan with attention to detail
- ◆ ...especially the budget and how each activity will be cost and delivered

Thank you

Steve Pitts
International and Business Development Manager
NOMS

Steve.pitts@justice.gov.uk

+44 (0) 753 02 64 211