
ELECTRONIC MONITORING IN IMMIGRATION

Sue Harling & Judith Craig
UK Immigration Service

STATISTICS

- 40,200 applications for asylum received in 2004
 - Drop of 33% from previous year
- 88% were refused
- 14,715 removed to their country of origin
 - Drop of 3% on previous year

Why can't we just send people home?

- > Certain countries unsafe/no flights available
- > Documentation problems
- > Appeals/human rights issues raised
- > Individuals move without notifying us

RESTRICTIONS AVAILABLE

DETENTION

BAIL

TA/TR/RO

USE OF EM (1)

Voice Recognition

To serve in lieu of a reporting restriction

To confirm compliance with a residence requirement

Weekly call from home to the monitoring centre

Tagging

To confirm compliance with a residence requirement

Monitoring period of at least 2 hours twice a week

USE OF EM (2)

Tracking

Passive tracking

To monitor whereabouts

Daily monitoring periods of 2 hours

Information only accessed in event of absconding

Other differences

No curfew periods

Contractor only required to take limited action in the event of a breach

Applied only with consent of individual

RESTRICTIONS WITH EM

DETENTION

TRACKING

BAIL/TAG

TA/TR/RO

VOICE

Sanctions for non-compliance

- Re-detention

- Prosecution

 - 6 months imprisonment

 - £5000 fine

THE TRIALS

Limited to selected business areas

200 Voice recognition

Successful

40 Tagging

68% compliance rate overall

100% compliance once inducted

10 Tracking

100% compliance although numbers limited

THE FUTURE

Voice Recognition

Additional language facility

Withdrawal of need for consent

Tagging/Tracking

Phased roll-out to business

Increased acceptance of level of risk

ANY QUESTIONS?
