

Introduction to Special Issue – Understanding and conceptualizing European jihadists: Criminals, extremists or both?

European Journal of Criminology
2019, Vol. 16(3) 261–277
© The Author(s) 2019
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/1477370819829971
journals.sagepub.com/home/euc



Alexander Kapatadze

King's College London, UK

Javier Argomaniz

University of St Andrews, UK

Introduction

The nexus between crime and terrorism has been debated ever since Alex Schmid wrote his ground-breaking article on the issue in 1996. Since then the disagreements have deepened as to under what conditions terrorists and criminals collaborate or when and why terrorists decide to develop their in-house capacity to implement criminal activities (Williams, 2008). Some have claimed that the intensity of interaction between crime and terrorism may well be exaggerated (Hübschle, 2011; Ruggiero, 2017) but, by and large, scholars working on this topic have emphasized the importance of this connection.

An important part of debate has revolved around the issue of whether the crime–terror nexus flourishes in weak states (Makarenko, 2004; Piazza, 2008) or if, on the other hand, technological advancement in richer countries provides the necessary infrastructure (roads, telecommunications, etc.) for successful collaboration (Asal et al., 2016). Indeed, lax financial regulations and white-collar crime in the developed world are seen by Shelley (2014) as key enablers of the crime–terror interaction. It is safe to assume that, given the relative sophistication of law enforcement structures in these countries, criminal-terrorist networks are likely to be more professional and more apt to prevent apprehension. For these reasons, it could be argued that the crime–terror nexus in Europe (and developed countries) deserves at least as much attention as this phenomenon in weak and failed states.

Corresponding author:

Alexander Kapatadze, King's Russia Institute and Department of European and International Studies, King's College London, 30 Aldwych, London, WC2B 4BG, UK.
Email: alexander.kapatadze@kcl.ac.uk

Much of the literature on the nexus has traditionally focused on how terrorists and organized criminals forge alliances, exchange favours and services, or use terror or criminal methods to further their primary goals. These models treat extremists and criminals as separate organizational entities with distinct aims and methods that sometimes establish fluid and constantly changing relationships or may converge in terms of tactics and behaviour (Dishman, 2005; Makarenko, 2004; Picarelli, 2012). The critique of the crime–terror nexus has emphasized that criminals and terrorists usually engage in temporary and opportunistic joint ventures and the relationship rarely develops into something deep and transformative (Hutchinson and O'Malley, 2007; Williams, 2008).

However, the recent terrorist attacks in Europe that followed the rise of ISIS, and which had featured perpetrators with a background in petty crime, represent a challenge for this scholarship. This is not necessarily a new phenomenon (the al-Qaeda in Iraq founder al-Zarqawi had, for example, a background in crime – Zelin, 2014) but the closer overlap evident in this more recent wave of attacks has led some to argue that the relationship between terrorism and crime has evolved into one of symbiosis rather than nexus (ICCT, 2017; Sylvester, 2017). They engage in two forms of critique. First, it is claimed that the crime–terror nexus literature underestimates the role of petty criminals and focuses predominantly on organized crime. Second, it is argued that the idea of a nexus or a continuum does not do justice to the confluence of petty criminals with extremists (Basra and Neumann, 2016). The goal of this Special Issue is precisely to take this critique as a point of departure to better understand the processes according to which petty criminals facilitate or engage in jihadist activity in Europe.

Clearly, the current overlap between criminals and jihadis is striking. A study that analysed 47 cases of jihadist-inspired violence carried out in Western countries between 1 January 2012 and 12 June 2016 found that half of the attackers had a criminal past (Mullins, 2016: 26–30). According to another study, at least 57 percent of attackers had a prior criminal background in a sample of 51 successful attacks throughout Europe and North America from June 2014, when the ISIS Caliphate was declared, until June 2017 (Vidino et al., 2017). In fact, in a 2016 assessment of the ISIS modus operandi, Europol claimed that, '[a] significant number of individuals (816 by 27 July 2016) 'have been reported to Europol both for terrorism-related offenses and for involvement in serious and/or organised crime' (Europol, 2016: 13).

Research suggests that the overwhelming majority of perpetrators of recent jihadi terrorist attacks who had a criminal history were involved in low-level criminality (Flemish Institute, 2018). Most of the foreign fighters joining ISIS are believed to be 'foot soldiers' in organized crime (Gallagher, 2016), but some of these fighters were not part of any criminal groups even though they might have had experience committing minor felonies (GLOBSEC, 2018a). At the same time, they generally do not succeed in moving up the militant groups' hierarchy either: one study looking at a sample of 100 jihadi leaders from Africa and the Middle East, found that individuals with prison experience and a criminal record rarely make it to the top of the network. The report finds that most of these leaders' convictions are related to jihadi activity instead (Centre on Religion & Geopolitics, 2016: 30).

However, the fact that criminal and terrorist networks overlap does not mean that previous experience with criminality is a necessary and/or a sufficient condition for becoming a violent extremist. Neither should it imply that terrorists always recruit from

criminal networks based on pre-existing collaborative links. Furthermore, there are interesting variations across European countries. For example, in France nearly 50 percent of the jihadis in Oliver Roy's database have a history of petty crime – mainly drug-dealing but also acts of violence and, less frequently, armed robbery (Roy, 2017a). According to the German Federal Police, around two-thirds of German foreign fighters (504 out of 778) had a criminal record: 'at least 53% had been convicted for three or more offences while nearly two-third (32%) had been associated with six or more criminal offences' (Federal Criminal Police Office, 2017: 18). Of the Dutch foreign fighters in a dataset of 140 individuals 47 percent were convicts (Hegghammer, 2016). In another study from Spain, one-third of foreign fighters have a criminal past (García-Calvo and Reinares, 2016). Such variation across studies may suggest that differences between European domestic contexts may have an effect on the crime–terror relationship.

'Overlapping ecosystems' and 'melting pots'

The two major studies examining the crime–terror nexus have categorized extant research on the topic into two types, which could broadly be described as organizational and social.¹ The first, or more traditional perspective, focuses on the tactical or organizational convergence between criminal and terrorist groups, potential forms of cooperation between these organizations, strategic and tactical similarities and differences, etc. The other, more recent, approach leaves aside formalized collaboration but finds that criminals and terrorists recruit from sociologically similar pools of people. We argue that, by and large, recent evidence of overlap in Europe can be best explained by the latter argument (converging social networks) rather than the more formal organizational approaches. In fact, this has been recognized by European authorities, as illustrated in D'Amato's article for this issue, which highlights how the official discourse in France has resulted in the 'individualization of the threat', the representation of the 'radicalized' individual as a threat.

We also believe that, along with carefully examining larger social milieus, *looking at individual pathways* has the most potential to do justice to the complexity of the problem. There are myriad ways in which criminals travel the pathway of radicalization and a rigid focus on organizations, on groups or even on fluid networks does not explain specific cases. As one of the GLOBSEC reports concludes, 'one struggles to find an overarching life story' amongst former criminals turning jihadists (2018b: 18).

As we have argued before, most of the crime–terror literature under the previous paradigm neglects the existence of lower-level interactions occurring outside static and predetermined organizational settings. Exceptions exist: some of that research has considered the links between ideological and non-ideological actors interacting within the fluid structure of broader social networks (Belli et al., 2015). However, it is fair to say that analyses that focus on the individual level are much more common in the new wave of research on the topic. This is a very important distinction, since, as a 2016 study by the International Centre for the Study of Radicalisation (ICSR) found, 'it is not 'the convergence of criminals and terrorists as organisations but of their social networks, environments, or *milieus*. . . criminal and terrorist groups have come to recruit from the same pool of people, creating (often unintended) synergies and overlaps that have

consequences for how individuals radicalise and operate' (Basma et al., 2016: 11). The same report talks about prisons, suburban areas of European cities and other social settings as the key hubs facilitating this collusion.

What we want to argue is that, in order to advance our understanding of the problem, a connection should be made to classic criminological theory. In this way, local neighbourhoods (for example, Molenbeek in Brussels), European jails, and/or sport clubs can be understood as 'offender convergence settings' in the worlds of criminologist Marcus Felson (2006). These settings constitute places for fostering socialization, cooperation and confluence of deviant actors. They may provide the basis for the emergence of short- or long-term co-offending networks: Felson's theory emphasizes that certain places or settings cultivate a regenerating pool of potential accomplices through facilitating informal unstructured activity (Felson, 2003, 2006). These settings may produce co-offending as only a by-product of socializing, but they also provide a platform for criminal planning by offenders with crime in mind (Felson, 2006). This notion is directly applicable to a variety of European cases. Indeed, the value of 'offender convergence settings' as a framework to study this subject has been highlighted in this Special Issue by Panayotov and by Argomaniz and Bermejo in their Bulgaria and Spain case studies.

A RAND report (Persi Paoli and Bellasio, 2017: 31) has used the term 'Islamogangsterism' to describe Tunisian suburbs where the presence of jihadists overlaps in crime-ridden environments with various types of illicit trade. Shaw and Mahadevan (2018) call similar types of places 'insecure spaces', where governance is contested and 'distinctions between terror and mafia-style organized crime are beginning to break down.' At the same time, as the piece by Ilan and Sandberg for this collection makes clear, the term 'Islamogangsterism' is useful to describe the neighbourhoods in the Western European capitals as well. More than 70 percent of the fighters joining ISIS from Sweden had been residents of 'exposed areas' of Swedish cities (socially deprived areas hit by high criminality and low socioeconomic status) (Gustafsson and Ranstorp, 2017). Higgins (2015) speaks of the 'grimy' Molenbeek district of Brussels, where the lines between criminality and jihadi violence are blurred. Molenbeek is also a hub of counterfeit trade (UNIFAB, 2016: 15–16). Such overlap between deprived neighbourhoods with disenfranchised youth, illicit markets and petty crime is also observed in other suburban settings of European cities (Weggemans et al., 2014). As Louise Shelley observes in Paris, several northern neighbourhoods close to where some of the November 2015 attacks occurred have large-scale markets where illicit products are sold (Shelley, 2015b).

Beyond specific neighbourhoods and districts, European prisons as well as other social settings (gyms, cafes, restaurants) have been 'melting pots': places for forging links between criminals and terrorists. For example, Mohammad Sidique Khan, the organizer of the 7/7 2005 bombings in London, is known to have recruited jihadists in the gyms and the Islamic bookshop that he established (Bokhari et al., 2006). García-Calvo and Reinares (2013) explain how jihadi recruits in Spain would gather in places as mundane as hairdressers, clothing and food shops or Internet cafes usually located in the same neighbourhood. Similar examples of 'overlapping ecosystems' abound across this Special Issue and Panayotov – very importantly – points out, for instance, the role that mosques can play in radicalization in the absence of effective regulation.

As for radicalization in prisons – European penitentiaries have long been known to have become incubators for radical Islamism (Pantucci, 2009) and some have even dubbed them as ‘jihad universities’ (Selby, 2017).² Apart from being a ‘convergence setting’, prisons are also ‘places of vulnerability’ where one can find a myriad of susceptible individuals who seek either a new identity, camaraderie, protection from other inmates or just better food (HM Chief Inspector of Prisons, 2010; Neumann, 2010). In many instances, this leads to inmates becoming radicalized under the influence of other jihadi prisoners. As recent research by Rushchenko (2018 and this Special Issue) shows, prisons in Europe that house extremists have opened new channels of communications and new political perspectives for offenders convicted for non-ideological crimes.

Using Felson’s ‘convergence settings’ as a conceptual framework to cross the bridge between terrorism studies and criminology, we argue that the broader phenomenon of crime–terror melting pots can be broken down into three processes when examined through the lens of European jihadism: functional confluence (skills exchange, social learning and provision of services), financial confluence (funding for terrorism derived from criminal activity) and ideological confluence (criminals turned violent extremists who follow an ideology that justifies political violence along religious lines). In other words, the crime–terror confluence that develops in convergence settings has three dimensions. We will next develop this typology further, but not before clarifying first that, even if we see these three notions as useful analytical distinctions, it does not mean that in reality these three patterns do not overlap. On the contrary, we do observe that more than one form of confluence can be present in particular plots or individual pathways to violence. An example is the 11-M network in Spain (Reinares, 2014), where forms of functional (smugglers provided the explosives to the jihadist cell), financial (these explosives were paid for with drugs) and ideological confluence (some members were radicalized in prison) coalesced.

Functional confluence

The functional relationship between criminals and terrorists can be predominantly based on the straightforward exchange of goods and services or on the learning process from the skillsets of each other (European Parliament, 2012). There are many studies in the traditional crime–terror literature explaining how criminals are beneficial for terrorist networks. Criminals sometimes have ‘competitive advantage’ in the provision of illicit goods and services such as forged documents, smuggled weapons or clandestine travel assistance (National Intelligence Council, 2004; Picarelli, 2012). Terrorists may either purchase these services from the skilled criminals or may try to develop in-house capacity by recruiting criminals with the relevant experience (Neumann and De Frias, 2017). Either way this optimizes and eases the execution of a crime from the perspective of rational choice criminology. Joining forces to commit a crime is expected to be easier and more efficient than going solo (McCarthy et al., 1998; Walsh, 1986).

Traditionally, terrorists have varied potential motives to seek collaborative links with organized criminals that go further than access to specialized knowledge or services (for example, money laundering) or goods and materials (for example, guns and ammunition). Performing tasks for criminal groups could also be a method to diversify revenue

sources and to increase their operational funds. The links may also bring terrorist networks into contact with a wider range of potential recruits (European Parliament, 2012; Schmid, 2018).

The concept of reputation, an essential commodity in organized crime, is also important here. As Gallagher (2018) explains ‘if the relationship were to involve criminals and terrorists from a shared background, the former’s “stock” might actually rise through benefit of association with “the cause”’.³ The backing of a powerful armed organization can raise the profile of a criminal group or individual, especially if this organization benefits from a positive image within a (diaspora) community. So the working relationships between groups developed in ‘offender convergence settings’ may be facilitated by the reputational gains for organized criminals that come with their connections to militants.

Alex Schmid (2018) distinguishes between various levels of intensity in the interactions between organized crime and terrorism, ranging from ad hoc, opportunistic relations to more regular tactical collaboration and even symbiosis. In practice, it is often difficult to distinguish between the separate stages of opportunistic collaboration, regular association or alliance formation. Mere coexistence may even be confused with interaction and this notion adds nuance to the idea of ‘overlapping ecosystems’: it clarifies that, as most recent research has shown, ‘the fact that terrorist groups operate within [the same] illicit spaces does not always indicate a genuine connection, let alone an alliance between organized crime and terrorist groups’ (Global Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime, 2018).

The issue of trust cannot be ignored here (Tremblay, 1993) because it is a rare commodity in the illicit underworld. Militant groups need to ensure both trust and secrecy in their operations and these are affected by engaging in cooperation with a criminal group. But it could be argued that common experience in ‘convergence settings’ has the potential to solve the trust issue. This is because functional confluence (collaboration, training or provision of paid services between criminals and terrorists) can occur at different levels: whereas in the ‘traditional’ nexus literature it is assumed to take place between organizations, the most recent research looks at cooperation between individuals or small informal groups. This is important because the costs involved in partnering with external actors – the possibility that militants’ operations become compromised – may be somewhat alleviated in these instances (it is obviously easier to act undetected when the numbers of individuals involved are small). And, in principle, the long-term connections that are facilitated by the existence of ‘convergence settings’ could foster the necessary trust.

Financial confluence

Business of terrorism studies are an important part of the first-generation crime–terror nexus literature because they explore how organized crime is a source of terrorism funding. There is increasing consensus in the wider expert and law enforcement community that terrorist networks benefit from small- and large-scale illicit trade (Melzer and Martin, 2016; Naim, 2010). Even though some academics have argued that ‘there is no abundance of evidence substantiating the claim’ that terrorist finance is related with illicit trade (Van Dijck, 2007: 6), others anticipate that ‘terrorist groups and their sympathisers will become even more involved in counterfeiting and piracy in the

future' (Lowe, 2006; Black Market Watch, 2015). Illegal trade in commercial products (defined as the production, trafficking and distribution of counterfeit and diverted products, including products that are legally produced but illegally sold, such as illicit whites; and, illegally produced and illegally sold goods) has been expanding over the past decade. As one report concludes, the 'growing power of terrorist groups is linked to their ability to generate revenue from numerous criminal activities with almost complete impunity' (Schori Liang, 2016).

Most of the literature on the link between illicit trafficking and terrorist organizations focuses on how extremist actors behave like organized criminal networks in facilitating medium- or large-scale, often cross-border, trafficking activity. It is now known that organizations such as the FARC, the IRA, the PKK, Hezbollah, terrorist groups in sub-Saharan Africa, and ISIS have all been reported to be involved in the illicit transnational trade in tobacco products (Melzer and Martin, 2016; Turan, 2011; US Department of State, 2015; WHO, 2015). After the rise of ISIS, some studies claimed that this organization has been the most financially successful terrorist group because of its ability to rip benefits from illicit trade in oil and other goods (Shelley, 2015a) as well as extorting a protection fee from smugglers of various kinds. For example, one study has demonstrated how ISIS has been taxing the human smugglers engaged in the lucrative business of moving Syrian refugees to Europe (Global Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime, 2015). Moreover, a recent United Nations Security Council report (2018: 22) highlights how, as ISIS loses its quasi-state streams of income, it is expected to turn to other forms of crime to finance its operations, such as 'kidnapping for ransom, petty crime, credit and insurance fraud and financial crimes over the Internet'.

There are many reasons why illegal trade can be attractive for criminals and terrorists: low entry barriers in the market, low priority for law enforcement and hence low prosecution of offenders, high profits despite low risk, lack of regional and international law enforcement cooperation against this type of trafficking activity (OECD, 2015). At the same time, this is a highly lucrative business. The projected value of internationally traded counterfeit goods stood at US\$770–960 billion in 2015 according to some studies (Black Market Watch, 2015), and the magnitude of counterfeiting ranges between 5 percent and 10 percent of the legal trade in physical goods (Transcrime, 2013). Yet relying on illicit transnational trade does also have its risks because it opens more possibilities for states to cooperate at the international level to clamp down on these groups, since prosecutors can choose to bring charges for criminal instead of terrorist offences, as Paulussen and Van der Wilt explain in this issue.

Most relevant to this Special Issue, the most recent literature has highlighted that terrorist acts can be cheap and hence the little money generated from low-scale criminal activity can be sufficient to finance their operations in Europe (Ofstedal, 2015; Shelley, 2015c). Indeed, the 'affordability' of these attacks (sometimes involving nothing more than knives and/or a rented vehicle) allows the network of small groups of violent extremists (Sageman, 2004) that characterize European jihadism to operate without the patronage of foreign actors such as al-Qaeda and ISIS. Crime (and limited licit funds) permits individuals or networks to operate autonomously and self-sufficiently and carry out attacks that, albeit low cost, can generate serious social alarm.

There is much evidence of the use of criminal funding for jihadist networks in Europe. A high-profile Norwegian study found that 38 percent of 40 jihadi cells in Europe for the 1994–2013 period funded themselves, at least partly, through crime; either thefts and robberies or illicit trade in various legal and illegal goods (Oftedal, 2015). A more recent analysis for the 2014–16 period found that the percentage of ISIS plots that had been financed wholly or partly through crime was 23 percent, a decrease probably caused by natural variability (Nesser et al., 2016⁴). Petty crime was one of the sources of funding for travel to Syria amongst the foreign fighters examined by Magnus Normark and Magnus Ranstorp (2015). Indeed, Pierre de Bousquet de Florian, chief of France's Directorate of Territorial Security, has been quoted (in Rotella, 2004) to have said that 'most of the extremist structures that we have dismantled have been financed by crime'. Belgian extremist Khalid Zerkanı actively encouraged his recruits and members of his network to engage in all kinds of petty crime to raise money for the jihad (Van Vlierden, 2016). In France, the attacker of the Thalys train, Ayoub El-Khazzani, had a record as a petty drug trafficker, and one of the terrorists involved in the attack on *Charlie Hebdo* traded in counterfeit trainers and cigarettes (Shelley, 2015a). Many other similar examples abound in the contributions to this Special Issue.

Ideological confluence

The dominant explanations in the original crime–terror nexus literature suggest that criminals and terrorists are separate type of actors who are driven by different motivations (ideology vs. profit). However, the more recent trends have led to the emergence of new research challenging this assumption and showing how these lines blur in European jihadism while highlighting the fluidity of these labels and how an individual can move into one of these identities (and back) far more easily than initially assumed by previous studies.

This view would suggest that engagement in terrorism is just a progression from one type of illegal behaviour to another and is conditioned by a deviant response to general deprivation, poverty and marginalization (Coolsaet, 2016). There is compelling empirical evidence behind the argument. For instance, Ljujic, Van Prooijen and Weerman (2017) found that low socioeconomic status is generally prevalent in their sample of Dutch and European jihadists. The majority of cases had completed only secondary school (or lower) and were unemployed in the year of offending.

The manner in which ideology operates in the process is up for debate. In an influential exchange with Gilles Kepel, the well-known French sociologist Oliver Roy (2017b) has suggested that the best perspective to understand the new breed of homegrown terrorism post-1995 is the 'Islamisation of radicalism' rather than the 'radicalisation of Islam'. Rik Coolsaet (2016: 22) calls this the fourth wave of terrorism and conceptualizes it as an extension of the 'inner-city' gang phenomenon: the middle-class intellectuals of previous jihadist waves have been replaced with petty criminals from disadvantaged backgrounds who mix gangsta and jihadist cultures (see also Ilan and Sandberg in this issue). Indeed, Alain Grignard (quoted in Cruickshank, 2015: 8) called ISIS a 'super-gang', a type of criminal grouping that attracts young Muslim [and non-Muslim] men with a history of delinquency.

In 'Grignard's view, a distorted interpretation of Islam has just 'legitimized their radical approach'. In effect, extremist groups offer redemption and camaraderie to dangerous young men who had previously found their sense of belonging in street gangs: a grand cause allows them to transcend their status as ordinary delinquents (Basra et al., 2016). More specifically it has been argued that ISIS has focused on this recruiting strategy more than al-Qaeda, attracting disenfranchised young people by giving them a new home, purpose and direction (Watts, 2016).

The relationship between crime, terrorism and religious-like ideology is complex and in some cases – as has always been the case with militants – recruits may be more influenced by purely personal and pragmatic motives, which include trying to escape marginal status, looking for adventure, or looking at ISIS as a form of employment (Neumann, 2015). But, all in all, it is hard to deny that jihadist doctrine has been very effectively applied to facilitate the transition between one role (criminal) to another (jihadist) owing to the greater sense of individual self-worth that provides without necessarily changing the person's previous routines and practices .

In this regard, there are many cases where leaders of extremist groups actually encourage their members to engage in crime against infidels. For example, Bakar Bashir, leader of Jemaah Islamiyah, reportedly said, 'you can take their blood; then why not take their property?' (Kaplan, 2005). Anwar al-Awlaki wrote in jihadist outlet *Inspire* magazine, 'rather than the Muslims financing their jihad from their own pockets, they should finance it from the pockets of their enemies' (*Inspire*, 2011: 60). From this perspective crime is actually a form of jihad and criminal activity is not only permitted but actively encouraged (Neumann and De Frias, 2017).

This is not a merely academic debate; this question has important practical consequences. Returnees from conflicts in Syria and Iraq with an offender past may re-join existing criminal networks and apply their military skills and training to strengthen them (Clark, 2018; Gallagher, 2016). Foreign fighters have the potential to become extremely dangerous criminals but the connection can also go in the opposite direction. Criminals can also be good jihadists for one reason – their experience with crime helps with jihad: Criminals are already good at evading police surveillance and planning discreet logistics (Basra et al., 2016). Furthermore, criminals, especially those with a background in violent crime, require less conditioning and grooming to overcome inhibitions about breaking the law. Hence, they are more easily 'socialised into terrorism' (Lloyd and Dean, 2015: 40). The focus here is on the learning process. As Sutherland's (1947) theory of differential association predicts, repeated and sustained interaction may facilitate social learning and skills exchange between criminals and terrorists. The frequency and duration of the relationship define the intensity of involvement in crime as well as techniques of committing it (Sutherland, 1947). In conjunction with Felson's offender convergence setting, this is a useful tool to account for the crime–terror overlapping ecosystems.

Organization of the Special Issue

The articles in this issue address the problem from different perspectives and it is this variety of approaches that we believe constitutes the key strength of this publication project. Another is the multidisciplinary of the team, with contributors approaching the

problem from a multitude of standpoints, including criminology, sociology, law and politics. An associated benefit of this heterogeneity is that it has reinforced the originality and value of this collective work by examining areas of the problem hitherto unexplored and/or bringing into the discussion concepts and frameworks that had not yet been employed in this area but can be very useful tools to facilitate our understanding.

Argomaniz and Bermejo's contribution demonstrates how the three confluences model that we have presented in the Introduction can be applied fruitfully to the analysis of a particular case study. Drawing on this conceptual framework and Felson's offender convergence settings theory, the authors have examined the crime and terror overlap present in some of the most important jihadist plots developed in Spain over the last 15 years. The authors explain how the analysis of the Spanish case corroborates the most recent findings on this subject emerging from other European contexts. That is to say, the overlap between crime and terror occurs mainly at the level of jihadi grass-roots networks.

The ideological confluence dimension is particularly evident in several Spanish examples. A significant number of individuals with criminal pasts have smoothly transitioned into jihadism both inside and outside prisons; this represents a significant concern for the authorities, leading to important changes in the way these prisoners are handled and monitored. Financial confluence has also been present in several plots (both successful and foiled) and in the activities of different cells dismantled by the Spanish authorities. Jihadists have engaged in a wide variety of illicit activities (mainly robbery; benefit, credit and identity fraud; and, most frequently, drug-trafficking) to fund both terrorist organizations abroad and attacks inside the country. Functional confluence has been facilitated in certain neighbourhoods affected by marginalization and deprivation that have become melting pots for criminals and violent extremists. Yet, importantly, the authors also found that the more traditional understanding of functional confluence (organized criminals collaborating with terrorist groups for profit) was far less prevalent in Spanish jihadism than the individual transition from petty crime into terrorism – or ideological confluence – that the 'new' literature highlights.

Ilan and Sandberg's article also shows the value of a rigorous effort at theorizing this issue, in this instance drawing on the work of French sociologist Pierre Bourdieu. By applying the Bourdieusian notions of capital, habitus and field to the analysis of jihadi gangsterism, the authors have delivered an innovative explanation for this phenomenon. A key concept in Ilan and Sandberg's analysis is that of social capital, in the sense that participation in violent jihadism is a powerful source of street capital in certain areas of European cities because it gives the individual an elevated status with their peers. The relationship could also be understood in the other direction: individuals with high street social capital can become more valuable for jihadist recruiters and can therefore be seen as a form of capital that facilitates the shift into violent extremism.

Moreover this move from street gangs/petty criminals to militancy is also helped by habitus, that is to say, the continuities and similarities in lifestyle that exist in any violent context, present both in some deprived European neighbourhoods and in Syrian or Iraqi conflict settings. In other words, there is often 'a "pre-existing" habituation into practices of violence and threat' for European foreign fighters. There are therefore attitudes and behaviours present in both the 'street' and 'jihadi' fields that can smooth the evolution

from one identity to the other. Ilan and Sandberg's paper demonstrates, in sum, how concepts derived from European sociological theory can enrich our understanding of a relationship between crime, street culture and contemporary violent jihadism that is a central part of the process of ideological confluence that we described above.

On the other hand, in D'Amato's paper the theoretical angle is informed by constructivist and critical approaches to security. Her paper does not look at jihadist activity per se but examines states' responses to this activity and, more specifically, how the discourses by actors dealing with the fight against terrorism have addressed the criminal terror nexus; in other words, how the authorities perceive and construct the threat at the discursive level. Importantly, this is an area of the literature on the intersection between crime and terrorism that had remained hitherto unexplored. Drawing on more than 150 public documents, the article has deconstructed the discursive practices of French policy-makers and brought to light the dominant interpretations of terrorism and their evolution.

The conclusion is that French decision-makers have understood terrorism as 'a sort of "Islamization of criminal behaviour"'; that is to say, for the French authorities, terrorism has become the product of an overlap between religion and social exclusion/marginalization. In other words, for the dominant discourse, jihadi terrorism is the space where religious practices and beliefs meet (and justify) criminal behaviour. These characteristics are juxtaposed against Republican values, norms and identity to the extent that the nexus is progressively understood and discussed as 'a threat to national values more than to citizens' physical safety'. We would argue that this representation of terrorism in the official discourse as an 'extreme form of religious criminality' has important practical implications: how the threat is understood and communicated has a direct bearing on which policy options are socially and politically acceptable, and therefore available to the state.

In his contribution, Panayotov examines a case (Bulgaria) that has been neglected for too long in a literature that has been focused on Western Europe. Applying both the notion of 'melting pots' and 'offender convergence settings' to the relationship between radicalization and crime in Bulgaria, the article explores how social deprivation and organized crime activities have interacted with violent extremism in urban areas of the country. An individual example, the 'case of the 13 imams', is examined in detail to highlight how these dimensions reinforce each other in practice: we can observe here evidence of both financial and ideological confluence.

Some of the interesting insights from this piece include: that the levels of poverty and deprivation of the Muslim Roma community in the country may put some of their members at risk of radicalization; that radical Islamist organizations are directing their efforts at the poorer parts of the country where inter-ethnic and inter-religious tensions are stronger; and, most relevant, how funding from organized crime allows radical Islamists to challenge traditional Islamic clergy in those places and foment a radical and violent understanding of Islam.

Rushchenko's article is an in-depth examination of a key aspect within ideological confluence: the phenomenon of radicalization in prisons. Here the author delivers the important reminder that some European prisons being known as 'hotbeds of extremism' or 'universities of terror' is not necessarily a new phenomenon: actually, 'prisons have

played an instrumental role in the narratives of many radical political organizations in the 20th century, including Irish Republicans, German Marxists and Egyptian Islamists’.

This is not a problem with simple solutions either. We are reminded that ‘radicalization is a multifaceted, continuous process influenced by various channels of communication and social actors’. And these factors change according to the group at hand and the political and historical context. What these experiences have in common is the existence of channels of communication between offenders convicted of non-ideological crimes and common criminals. The important implications that come with these connections represent a vexed problem for European authorities that requires, as Rushchenko rightly claims, a more nuanced evaluation of the existing policy options.

The concluding article by Paulussen and Van der Wilt also considers the potential responses to the nexus, but it does so from an international law perspective, an approach that has been overlooked so far by scholars. The goal in the article is to review the challenges and potential obstacles, but also the opportunities, associated with furthering international legal cooperation in this context, the assumption being that, because of their relationship, problems that emerge in one of the two areas may have implications for the other form of criminality.

Interestingly, Paulussen and Van der Wilt conclude that the main obstacles to cooperation are not the demands that come from the need to meet the traditional principles of international cooperation in criminal matters, that is, the ‘political offence doctrine, the rule of double jeopardy and the principle of dual criminality’. What matters is that states are reluctant to extradite suspects if their fundamental human rights may be abused, due process denied or evidence obtained through torture. The authors make the essential point that, in fighting the nexus at the international level, there are essential limits that governments must protect.

Acknowledgements

The guest editors wish to thank all authors of the Special Issue for their fine contributions as well as all reviewers who dedicated their time to provide constructive feedback. The guest editors are grateful to the British Academy/Leverhulme Small Research Grant Scheme, which funded the crime-terror nexus workshop in September 2017. Most of the papers in this Special Issue were initially presented at this workshop. The guest editors also owe a debt of gratitude to senior colleagues Alex Schmid, Louise Shelley and Xavier Raufer for actively participating in this workshop.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Alexander Kapatadze and Javier Argomaniz received British Academy/Leverhulme Small Research Grant to organise the workshop on crime-terror nexus. Most of the papers in this Special issue were initially presented at this workshop in September 2017.

Notes

1. See the details of the project ‘From Criminals to Terrorists and Back?’ at <https://www.globsec.org/publications/criminals-terrorists-back/>; for further information about the Crime Terror Nexus study, visit <https://crimeterrornexus.com>.
2. See also, ‘What is going wrong in France’s prisons?’, *Daily Telegraph*, 15 January 2015. URL: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/france/11352268/What-is-going-wrong-in-Frances-prisons.html>.

3. He uses the example of James ‘Whitey’ Bulger, a Boston-based organized criminal whose arms smuggling on behalf of the IRA made him a powerful figure. As we will see in the ideological confluence section, this is also an important notion for current European jihadists.
4. Nesser et al. explain in the paper how, historically, there has been substantial variation in these figures from year to year.

References

- Asal VH, Park HH, Rethemeyer RK and Ackerman G (2016) With friends like these... why terrorist organizations ally. *International Public Management Journal* 19(1): 1–30.
- Basra R and Neumann PR (2016) Criminal pasts, terrorist futures: European jihadists and the new crime–terror nexus. *Perspectives on Terrorism* 10(6): 25–40.
- Basra R, Neumann PR and Brunner C (2016) *Criminal Pasts, Terrorist Futures: European Jihadists and the New Crime–Terror Nexus*. ICSR, King’s College London.
- Belli R, Freilich JD, Chermak SM and Boyd KA (2015) Exploring the crime–terror nexus in the United States: A social network analysis of a Hezbollah network involved in trade diversion. *Dynamics of Asymmetric Conflict* 8(3): 263–281.
- Black Market Watch (2015) How leading companies are affected by counterfeiting and IP infringement. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): http://www.svensktnaringsliv.se/migration_catalog/Rapporter_och_opinionsmaterial/Rapporter/omx30_english_webbpdf_617515.html/BINARY/OMX30_English_webb.pdf.
- Bokhari L, Hegghammer T, Lia B, Nesser P and Tonnessen T (2006) Paths to global jihad: Radicalisation and recruitment to terror networks. Proceedings from a FFI Seminar, Oslo, 15 March 2006. FFI/RAPPORT-2006/00935. Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, Kjeller, Norway.
- Centre on Religion & Geopolitics (2016) *Milestones to Militancy. What the Lives of 100 Jihadis Tell Us about a Global Movement*. London: Tony Blair Institute for Global Change.
- Clark CP (2018) Investigating and prosecuting cases of the nexus between organised crime and terrorism: Best practices and lessons learned for practitioners. ICCT Report. International Centre for Counter-Terrorism, The Hague.
- Coolsaet R (2016) ‘Facing the Fourth Foreign Fighters Wave. What Drives Europeans to Syria, and to Islamic State? Insights from the Belgian Case’. Egmont Paper 81, March. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): http://www.egmontinstitute.be/content/uploads/2016/02/egmont.papers.81_online-versie.pdf?type=pdf.
- Cruikshank P (2015) ‘A view from the CT foxhole: An interview with Alain Grignard, Brussels Federal Police’. *CTC Sentinel* 8(8). URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://ctc.usma.edu/a-view-from-the-ct-foxhole-an-interview-with-alain-grignard-brussels-federal-police/>.
- Dishman C (2005) The leaderless nexus: When crime and terror converge. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism* 28(3): 237–252.
- European Parliament (2012) ‘Europe’s Crime–Terror Nexus: Links between Terrorist and Organised Crime Groups in the European Union.’ Directorate General for Internal Policies, Policy Department C: Citizens’ Rights and Constitutional Affairs Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, Brussels: European Parliament.
- Europol (2016) Changes in modus operandi of Islamic State (IS) revisited’. The Hague, November. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.europol.europa.eu/publications-documents/changes-in-modus-operandi-of-islamic-state-revisited>.
- Federal Criminal Police Office (2017) Analysis of the background and process of radicalization among persons who left Germany to travel to Syria or Iraq based on Islamist motivations. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://polis.osce.org/node/1801>.

- Felson M (2003) The process of co-offending. *Crime Prevention Studies* 16: 149–168.
- Felson M (2006) *Crime and Nature*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Flemish Institute (2018) Firearms acquisition by terrorists in Europe. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): http://www.flemishpeaceinstitute.eu/sites/vlaamsvredesinstituut.eu/files/wysiwyg/executive_summary_project_saftedef.pdf.
- Gallagher M (2016) ‘Criminalised’ Islamic State veterans – A future major threat in organised crime development? *Perspectives on Terrorism* 10(5).
- Gallagher M (2018) Exploring the nuanced nexus between terrorism and organised crime. International Centre for Counter-Terrorism, The Hague.
- García-Calvo C and Reinares F (2013) Procesos de radicalización violenta y terrorismo yihadista en España: ¿cuándo? ¿dónde? ¿cómo? Madrid: Real Instituto Elcano.
- García-Calvo C and Reinares F (2016) Patterns of involvement among individuals arrested for Islamic State-related terrorist activities in Spain, 2013–2016. *Perspectives on Terrorism* 10(6).
- Global Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime (2014) Smuggled futures: The dangerous path of a migrant from Africa to Europe. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://globalinitiative.net/smuggled-futures/>.
- Global Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime (2015) Libya: A growing hub for criminal economies and terrorist financing in the Trans-Sahara. Policy Brief, 11 May. URL (accessed 1 February 2019): https://globalinitiative.net/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/TGIATOC-Libya_-a-growing-hub-for-Criminal-Economies-and-Terrorist-Financing-in-the-Trans-Sahara-web.pdf.
- Global Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime (2018) Untangling organized crime and terrorism in East Africa. 8 August. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://globalinitiative.net/east-africa-organized-crime-and-terrorism/>.
- GLOBSEC (2018a) From criminals to terrorists and back? Quarterly Report: France. URL (accessed 1 February 2019): <https://www.globsec.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/From-Criminals-to-Terrorists-and-Back-Quarterly-Report-2018-France.pdf>.
- GLOBSEC (2018b) *Who Are the European Jihadis? Project Midterm Report*. 11 September. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.globsec.org/publications/who-are-european-jihadis-from-criminals-to-terrorists-and-back/>.
- Gustafsson L and Ranstorp M (2017) *Swedish Foreign Fighters in Syria and Iraq: An Analysis of Open-source Intelligence and Statistical Data*. Swedish Defence University. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://fhs.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1110355/FULLTEXT01.pdf>.
- Hegghammer T (2016) Revisiting the poverty–terrorism link in European jihadism. Speech at Society for Terrorism Research Annual Conference, Leiden, November.
- Higgins A (2015) Belgium confronts the jihadist danger within. *e New York Times*, 24 January.
- HM Chief Inspector of Prisons (2010) *Muslim Prisoners’ Experience: A Thematic Review*. June. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://www.ohrn.nhs.uk/resource/policy/MuslimPrisonersThematic.pdf>.
- Hübschle A (2011) From theory to practice: Exploring the organised crime–terror nexus in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Perspectives on Terrorism* 5(3–4).
- Hutchinson S and O’Malley P (2007) A crime–terror nexus? Thinking on some of the links between terrorism and criminality. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism* 30: 1095–1107.
- ICCT (International Center for Counter Terrorism) (2017) Examining the nexus between organised crime and terrorism and its implications for EU programming. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://icct.nl/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/OC-Terror-Nexus-Final.pdf>.
- Inspire (2011) Issue 4, Al-Malahim media. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://azelin.files.wordpress.com/2011/01/inspire-magazine-4.pdf>.
- Kaplan DE (2005) Paying for terror. *U.S. News & World Report*, 5 December.

- Ljubic V, Van Prooijen JW and Weerman F (2017) Beyond the crime–terror nexus: Socio-economic status, violent crimes and terrorism. *Journal of Criminological Research, Policy and Practice* 3(3): 158–172.
- Lloyd M and Dean C (2015) The development of structured guidelines for assessing risk in extremist offenders. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management* 2(1): 40.
- Lowe P (2006) Counterfeiting: Links to organised crime and terrorist funding. *Journal of Financial Crime* 13(2): 255–257.
- McCarthy B, Hagan J and Cohen LE (1998) Uncertainty, cooperation, and crime: Understanding the decision to co-offend. *Social Forces* 77: 155–176.
- Makarenko T (2004) The crime–terror continuum: Tracing the interplay between transnational organised crime and terrorism. *Global Crime* 6(1): 129–145.
- Melzer S and Martin C (2016) A brief overview of illicit trade in tobacco products. In: OECD, *Illicit Trade: Converging Criminal Networks*. Paris: OECD Publishing, 123–177.
- Mullins S (2016) The road to Orlando: Jihadist-inspired violence in the West, 2012–2016. *CTC Sentinel*, June.
- Naim M (2010) *Illicit: How Smugglers, Traffickers and Copycats Are Hijacking the Global Economy*. London: Random House.
- National Intelligence Council (2004) *Mapping the Global Future: Report of the National Intelligence Council's 2020 Project*, December. Pittsburgh, PA: Government Printing Office.
- Nesser P, Stenersen A and Oftedal E (2016) Jihadi terrorism in Europe: The IS-effect. *Perspectives on Terrorism* 10(6): 3–24.
- Neumann P and De Frias AS (2017) Report on the links between terrorism and transnational organized crime. CODEXTER (2017) 4, Council of Europe.
- Neumann PR (2010) Prisons and terrorism: Radicalisation and de-radicalisation in 15 countries. ICSR, King's College London.
- Neumann PR (2015) Victims, perpetrators, assets: The narratives of Islamic State defectors. ICSR, King's College London.
- Normark M and Ranstorp M (2015) 'Understanding terrorist finance: Modus operandi and national CTF regimes'. *Swedish Defence University*, 18 December.
- Oftedal E (2015) *The Financing of Jihadi Terrorist Cells in Europe*. FFI-rapport 2014/02234. Norwegian Defence Research Establishment (FFI). URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.ffi.no/no/Rapporter/14-02234.pdf>.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (2015) *Illicit Trade: Converging Criminal Networks*. Paris: OECD Publishing. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://www.oecd.org/gov/risk/charting-illicit-trade-9789264251847-en.htm>.
- Pantucci R (2009) UK prisons: Incubators for terrorism? *The Guardian*, 4 February. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2009/feb/03/islam-prison-sandprobation>.
- Persi Paoli G and Bellasio J (2017) *Against the Rising Tide: An Overview of the Growing Criminalization of the Mediterranean Basin*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.rand.org/pubs/perspectives/PE220.html>.
- Piazza JA (2008) Incubators of terror: Do failed and failing states promote transnational terrorism? *International Studies Quarterly* 52(3): 469–488.
- Picarelli JT (2012) Osama bin Corleone? Vito the Jackal? Framing threat convergence through an examination of transnational organized crime and international terrorism. *Terrorism and Political Violence* 24(2): 180–198.
- Reinares F (2014) *¡Matadlos! Quién estuvo detrás del 11-M y por qué se atentó en España*. Barcelona: Galaxia Gutenberg.
- Rotella S (2004) Jihad's unlikely alliance. *Los Angeles Times*, 23 May.

- Roy O (2017a) *Jihad and Death: The Global Appeal of Islamic State*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Roy O (2017b) Who are the new jihadis? *The Guardian*, 13 April. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.theguardian.com/news/2017/apr/13/who-are-the-new-jihadis>.
- Ruggiero V (2017) Hybrids: On the crime–terror nexus. *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*. Published online 6 December 2017.
- Rushchenko J (2018) Prison management of terrorism-related offenders: Is separation effective? The Henry Jackson Society, London.
- Sageman M (2004) *Understanding Terror Networks*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Schmid AP (1996) The links between transnational organized crime and terrorist crimes. *Transnational Organized Crime* 2(4): 40–82.
- Schmid AP (2018) Revisiting the relationship between international terrorism and transnational organised crime 22 years later. ICCT Research Paper, The Hague.
- Schori Liang C (2016) The criminal-jihadist: Insights into modern terrorist financing. Strategic Security Analysis No. 10, Geneva Centre for Security Policy.
- Selby A (2017) ISIS risk as at least 27 terrorists are set to be released from ‘Jihad University’ prison wing. *Mirror*, 8 July. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/isis-risk-least-27-terrorists-10761748>.
- Shaw M and Mahadevan P (2018) When terrorism and organized crime meet. *Policy Perspectives* 6(7): 1–4.
- Shelley LI (2014) *Dirty Entanglements: Corruption, Crime, and Terrorism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Shelley L (2015a) Criminal minds. *American Legion Magazine*, 1 June.
- Shelley (2015b) How to beat Islamic State? Crack down on cigarette smuggling. *The Guardian*, 27 November. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.theguardian.com/commentis-free/2015/nov/27/isis-cigarette-smuggling-isis-middle-east>.
- Shelley L (2015c) ISIS’ members depend on petty crime to function in Europe. *The New York Times*, 20 November. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://www.nytimes.com/roomfordebate/2015/11/20/draining-isis-coffers/isis-members-depend-on-petty-crime-to-function-in-europe>.
- Sutherland EH (1947) *Principles of Criminology*, 4th edn. Oxford: J. B. Lippincott.
- Sylvester R (2017) Find the lost boys before the extremists do. *The Times*, 8 August.
- Transcrime (2013) *Progetto PON Sicurezza 2007–2013. Gli investimenti delle mafie*. Rapporto Linea 1, Deliverable D1.3. Milan: Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): http://www.transcrime.it/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/PON-Gli_investimenti_delle_mafie_ridotto.pdf.
- Tremblay P (1993) Searching for suitable co-offenders. In: Clarke RV and Felson M (eds) *Routine Activity and Rational Choice (Advances in Criminological Theory 5)*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books, 17–36.
- Turan C (2011) The PKK and cigarette smuggling. In: Strozier C and Frank J (eds) *The PKK: Financial Sources, Social and Political Dimensions*. Saarbrücken: VDM Publishing.
- UNIFAB (Union des Fabricants) (2016) Counterfeiting and terrorism. UNIFAB, Paris.
- US Department of State (2015) *The Global Illicit Trade in Tobacco: A Threat to National Security*. Washington, DC: Department of State.
- United Nations Security Council (2018) Twenty-second report of the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team submitted pursuant to resolution 2368 (2017) concerning ISIL (Da’esh), Al-Qaida and associated individuals and entities. UN Doc. S/2018/705. URL

- (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.un.org/sc/ctc/news/document/s-2018-705-twenty-second-report-analytical-support-sanctions-monitoring-team-submitted-pursuant-resolution-2368-2017-concerning-isil-daesh-al-qaida-associated/>.
- Van Dijk M (2007) The link between the financing of terrorism and cigarette smuggling. What evidence is there? *HUMSEC Journal* Issue 1.
- Van Vlierden G (2016) Molenbeek and beyond. The Brussels–Antwerp axis as hotbed of Belgian jihad. In: Varvelli A (ed.) *Jihadist Hotbeds: Understanding Local Radicalization Processes*. Milan: ISPI.
- Vidino L, Marone F and Entenmann E (2017) *Fear Thy Neighbor: Radicalization and Jihadist Attacks in the West*. Milan: Ledizioni.
- Walsh D (1986) *Heavy Business: Commercial Burglary and Robbery*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Watts C (2016) Why ISIS beats al Qaeda in Europe. *Foreign Affairs*, 4 April. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2016-04-04/why-isis-beats-al-qaeda-europe>.
- Weggemans D, Bakker E and Grol P (2014) Who are they and why do they go? The radicalization and preparatory processes of Dutch jihadist foreign fighters. *Perspectives on Terrorism* 8(4).
- WHO (World Health Organization) (2015) Illegal trade of tobacco products: What you should know to stop it. World Health Organization, Geneva. URL (accessed 27 January 2019): <http://www.who.int/campaigns/no-tobacco-day/2015/brochure/en/>.
- Williams P (2008) Terrorist financing and organized crime: Nexus, appropriation or transformation? In: Biersteker TJ and Eckert SE (eds) *Countering the Financing of Terrorism*. New York: Routledge, 126–149.
- Zelin AY (2014) The war between ISIS and al-Qaeda for supremacy of the global jihadist movement. *The Washington Institute for Near East Policy* 20(1): 1–11.