

Probation and Probation Services

Professor Anton van Kalmthout

TALINN SEPTEMBER 2007



COMPARISON OF PROBATION AND EUROPEAN PROBATION SERVICES:

■ What is probation?

- History
- Trends
- Similarities
- Differences
- Core Tasks
- New trends
- Remarkable findings



WHAT IS PROBATION?

- Methods of punishment
- Probation as system
- Coherent set of interventions combined with supervision and control



PRE-TRIAL PHASE

- Early help
- Mediation
- Diversional measures
- Prevention
- Social enquiry reports



TRIAL/EXECUTION PHASE

- Pre-sentence/advisory reports
- Guidance and support
- Community sanctions
- Preparation for reintegration
- Supervision and control
- Social work in prisons



POST-/EXECUTION PHASE

- After-care
- Re-integration activities
- Guidance and support (on voluntary basis)
- Prevention

NB: Since 1-1-2007 swedish
Probation Service initiates and
co-ordinates all action plans to
further post-release adjustment in
the community of those serving
prison sentences



HISTORY

- 19th century: focus changed from criminal act to criminal offender
- Criminal law became more individualised, attention for rehabilitation
- Voluntary work tradition, from individuals and (Christian) charitable organisations
- 20th century: work of private probation organisations taken over by the state.
- 20th-21th century : professionalisation of probation work.



CHARACTERISTICS -1-

- Development of probation is influenced and embedded in society's general development
- Diversity in EU countries due to linguistic, social, cultural political differences
- Position and activities of probation services are direct reflection of developments in criminal justice



CHARACTERISTICS -2-

Typology of Criminal Justice Systems

- Welfare Model
- Justice Model
- Minimum intervention model
- Restorative justice model
- Neo-correctionalist model



TRENDS

- Rise, decline and revival of volunteer work (Scotland, Malta, and development of professional work
- From providing assistance to supervision of offenders
- Shift from private to public (financed) probation organisations
- Introduction and increasing importance of alternative sentences like community service, electronic monitoring, mediation
- Local communities become more important (Sweden, Ireland, the Netherlands, Scotland)



TRENDS (continued)

 Probation services activities have increasingly shifted from inside (penal institutions) to outside (community)

 Shift from simple sanction system (imprisonment, fine) to a comprehensive sanction system with alternatives for non-custodial sentences.

- Increased workload
- Risk assessment/Safe society/ Crime control



CORE TASKS -1-

- Providing information
- Helping and providing assistance
- Diverting
- Preventing recidivism
- Contributing to a safe society
- Enforcing community sanctions



CORE TASKS (2)

- Supporting detainees
- Supervision and monitoring
- Involving other partners in probation activities
- Assistance of offender's families
- Organisation/implementation of CSM's



SIMILARITIES -1-

- Tasks and activites do not differ in essence!
- Most mission statements include:

- ✓ Public protection
- √ Risk assessment
- Effective enforcement of sentences
- Organisation, preparation, enforcement and implementation of sanctions (including supervision)



SIMILARITIES -2-

Traditionally focus on offenders

 Centrally organised, controlled and financed by central government (ministry of justice)

 Increasing interest in victims of crime



DIFFERENCES

- Priority being given in mission statement to:
 - √ Community sanctions
 - ✓ Preventing of re-offending
- Distinction between adults and young offenders/high risk offenders
- Role in crime prevention
- Position of probation services (part of prison service
- Probation work in prison



New trends

- Partnership of local communities (Finland, the Netherlands, Scotland)
- Role of volunteers (Finland, Croatia, Latvia)
- Evidence based programs (accreditation of programs,)
- Audits, benchmarking, competition



DEVELOPMENTS IN CENTRAL AND EASTERN COUNTRIES

- Impressive progress in short time (new legislation and attitudes)
- Probation services focus is on supervision of offenders
- Less aftercare nor crime prevention



DEVELOPMENTS IN EASTERN COUNTRIES (continued)

- Limited use of alternatives (like community sanctions) and victimoffender mediation support
- Few activities in pre-trial and postsentence phase
- However, slowly more towards offender's inclusion rahter than exclusion



HOW TO START WITH PROBATION?

Decentralised/Centralised?

All Tasks/Priorities in activities?

- Partnerships (Police, courts, public prosecutor, universities, political parties, local authorities, media)
- Training and education of probation officers

Supporters (EU, CoE, CEP, PRI, OSI, NGO'S)



Important Conditions

Motivation

- Co-operation
- Echange of experiences
- Perseverance



Remarkable findings

Lack of research on client views

 Lack of reserch on societal opinion on probation work

 Lack of effect studies and on studies on probation in general

 Not all countries have formulated a set of ethical standards like in e.g. Latvia, Sweden)